

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,617	7 04/21/2004		Christopher J. Scafidi	016295.1607 (DC-06227)	5833
23640	7590	07/06/2005		EXAM	NER
BAKER BO		P	FIGUEROA, FELIX O		
	HOUSTON, TX 77002-4995			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2833	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		<b>.</b>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/828,617	SCAFIDI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Felix O. Figueroa	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	A <i>pril 2005</i> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 19-24 is/are pending in the	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 19-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 19-24</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 19-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers		·					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ol>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		n received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the centified copies no	ot received.					
•							
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	(DTO 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Paper N	/ Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/21/05.	<u> </u>	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-14 in the reply filed on April 27, 2005 is acknowledged. New claims 19-24 (as renumbered, see below) are considered and treated in the following Office action.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed April 21, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file and considered, but the <u>non-patent literature publication</u> referred to therein has not been considered.

### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "a connector is disclosed", "the present disclosure teaches," etc.

#### Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. The claims must me numbered in consecutive Arabic numbers. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,617

Art Unit: 2833

Misnumbered claims 7-26 have been renumbered 5-24. Accordingly, the canceled claims are 13-18.

Claims 11 and 12 (as renumbered) are objected to because of the following informalities:

It appears that claims 11 and 12 should depend on claim 8 instead of claim 7.

Accordingly, claims 11 and 12 will be treated as depending from claim 8.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komenda et al. (US 6,592,387).

Komenda discloses a spring-loaded assembly for coupling a connector (710) to a computer component comprising: an assembly housing (720,726) operable to receive a portion of a screw (716) used to couple the connector to a chassis (720,726) of an information handling system; a sliding block (714) disposed in the assembly housing and operably engaged with the screw, the sliding block operable to move the connector between a first position and a second position; and a spring (722) placed between the

Art Unit: 2833

sliding block and at least one wall of the assembly housing, the spring operably provides an axial force to bias the connector to a first position, whereby coupling the connector to the computer component causes the connector to move to a connected position intermediate the first and second position.

Regarding claim 4, Komenda discloses the spring-loaded assembly being formed on a portion of the chassis of an information handling system.

Regarding claim 5, Komenda discloses the spring-loaded assembly is formed as a part of the connector.

Regarding claim 7, Komenda discloses wherein the axial force comprising a connector insertion force.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Villiers et al. (US 5,318,455).

Villiers discloses a spring-loaded assembly for coupling a connector (1) to a computer component comprising: an assembly housing (4) operable to receive a portion of a screw (18) used to couple the connector to a chassis (by 2) of an information handling system; a sliding block (3) disposed in the assembly housing and operably engaged with the screw, the sliding block operable to move the connector between a first position and a second position; and a spring (12) placed between the sliding block and at least one wall of the assembly housing, the spring operably provides an axial force to bias the connector to a first position, whereby coupling the connector to the computer component causes the connector to move to a connected position intermediate the first and second position.

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claim 2, Villiers discloses an extension member (6) coupled to and extending from the block, the extension member operable to guide the movement of the spring.

Regarding claim 3, Villiers discloses an end stop (at 11) coupled to the assembly wall adjacent the spring, the end stop operable to interact with the extension member to fix the position of the second position.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8-12 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komenda.

Regarding claim 6, Komenda discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the specific connector. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a know connector, such as a modified SATA connector in order to provide good performance while reducing cost.

Regarding claim 8, Komenda discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the memory coupled to a processor. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the connector and spring loaded assembly of Komenda within know systems, such as an

information handling system with a memory coupled to a processor in order to provide a reliable and efficient electrical connection to the information handling system.

Regarding claims 9, Komenda discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the computer component being a hard disk drive However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the connector and spring loaded assembly of Komenda to connect to a know computer component, such as a hard disk drive, in order to provide a reliable and efficient electrical connection to the hard disk drive.

Regarding claim 10, Komenda discloses substantially the claimed invention except for the specific connector. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a know connector, such as a modified SATA connector in order to provide good performance while reducing cost.

Regarding claim 11, Komenda discloses the connected position varying based on variations in manufacturing tolerances of the computer component.

Regarding claim 12, Komenda discloses the spring-loaded assembly further comprises a guide pin (702) operable to align the electrical contacts of the connector with the mated electrical contacts of the computer component.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felix O. Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-2003. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 10:00am-6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,617 Page 7

Art Unit: 2833

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Felix O. Figueroa

Art Unit 2833